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The axially symmetric, subsonic emission of a jet of compressible fluid from a conical nozzle is considered. Subject to the assumptions that a solution of the corresponding boundary-value problem exists and that a certain asymptotic expansion holds in the case of this solution, it is proved that, in an axially symmetric jet of a compressible fluid at a critical pressure on the free surface, the gas velocity reaches the sound velocity in a certain plane which is perpendicular to the axis and located at a finite distance from the nozzle edge. Results are presented for a jet of a perfect gas with an adiabatic exponent $\gamma=1.4$. Approximate formulae are given which enable one to determine the form of a jet with a sonic velocity on the free surface. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

The problem of the emission of an axially symmetric jet of an incompressible fluid from a funnel-shaped nozzle has been considered by a number of authors. However, the results obtained (see, [1-3]) were not of a high accuracy. An effective method for solving the problem of the emission of an axially symmetric jet of a compressible fluid (a gas), based on the use of the variables of a velocity hodograph, has been proposed in [4]. However, in [4] and in later papers, there are no results of calculations for the subsonic emission of gas and no method is given for the calculation of a jet with a sonic velocity on the free boundary.

A development of the method in [4], which also enables one, in particular, to use it in the case of a sonic velocity on the free boundary, is given below.

## 1. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Consider an axially symmetric, subsonic emission of a jet of an ideal, compressible fluid from a semiinfinite conical nozzle. We shall assume that there are no external forces and that the jet is a steady, barotropic, irrotational flow. In the half-plane of the cylindrical coordinates $x$ and $r$, the flow domain is bounded by the $x$ axis, the generatrix of the cone $a_{1} b$, which makes an angle $\theta_{0}$ with the $x$ axis, and the free surface $b c$. The $r$ axis passes through the edge of the nozzle $b$ (Fig. 1a).

Suppose $V$ and $\rho$ are the velocity and the density of the fluid, $\theta$ is the angle of inclination of the velocity vector to the $x$ axis, $M$ is the Mach number, $V_{c}, \rho_{c}, M_{c}$ are the values of $V, \rho$ and $M$ at the free surface $\left(M_{c} \leqslant 1\right), \tau=V / V_{c}, v=\rho / \rho_{c}, Y=v \tau r^{2} / 2$ and $\psi$ is the stream function, introduced using the relations

$$
\tau \cos \theta=(r v)^{-1} \Psi_{r} \tau \sin \theta=-(r v)^{-1} \Psi_{x},
$$

(subscripts are used to denote partial derivatives).
The rectangle $\tau, \theta$ corresponds to the flow domain ina the plane of the variables $\tau$ and $\theta$ (Fig. 1 b ; the segment $\Sigma=\left\{(\tau, \theta) \mid 0<\tau<1,-\theta_{0}<\theta<0\right\}$ corresponds to an infinitely distant stagnation point of the flow and the points $B$ and $C$ correspond to the points $b$ and $c$ ).
It is known [4-6] that the functions $\psi(\tau, \theta), r(\tau, \theta), x(\tau, \theta)$ satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=R(\Psi, Y)=\sin \theta S^{2} L-P_{\theta} S+P S_{\theta}=0 \\
& L=L(\Psi)=\left(1-M^{2}\right) \psi_{\theta \theta}+\tau^{2} \Psi_{\pi \tau}+\left(1+M^{2}\right) \tau \psi_{\tau}  \tag{1.1}\\
& P=P(\psi)=\sin ^{2} \theta\left(\tau^{2} \psi_{\tau}^{2}+\left(1-M^{2}\right) \psi_{\theta}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& S=S(\Psi, Y)=2 Y+\Psi_{\theta} \sin \theta \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{\tau} \\
r_{\tau}
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{1}{N \tau^{2}}\left(\left(M^{2}-1\right) \Psi_{\theta}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos \theta \\
\sin \theta
\end{array}\right\}+\frac{P}{S}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{ctg} \theta \\
1
\end{array}\right\} \mp \tau \Psi_{\tau}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sin \theta \\
\cos \theta
\end{array}\right\}\right.  \tag{1.2}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{\theta} \\
r_{\theta}
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{1}{r v \tau}\left(\tau \Psi_{\tau}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\cos \theta \\
\sin \theta
\end{array}\right\} \mp \Psi_{\theta}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sin \theta \\
\cos \theta
\end{array}\right\}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Without loss in generality, it may be assumed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=0 \text { on } A C, \psi=1 \text { on } A_{1} B C \text { and } \psi=(1-\cos \theta) /\left(1-\cos \theta_{0}\right) \text { on } A A_{1} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the last of conditions (1.3) holds for the whole of the radial flow domain towards the sink).
Using relations (1.2), we express $Y$ in terms of $\psi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=Y(\psi)=\psi \cos \theta+\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\tau \psi_{\tau}+\psi\right) \sin \theta d \theta \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting expressions (1.4) into Eqs (1.1) $(S(\psi, Y(\psi))=S(\psi), R(\psi, Y(\psi))=R(\psi))$, we obtain an integro-differential equation in $\psi$. Relations (1.1), (1.3) and (1.4) define a boundary-value problem for $\psi$ in he domain $\sum$. The solution of this problem will be sought in the form $\psi=\psi^{0}+\chi$, where $\psi^{0}$ is he leading part of the asymptotic expansion of the stream function in the neighbourhood of the singular point $C$ and $\chi$ is a smoother function which is found by the method of finite differences.

## 2. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF $\Psi$ WHEN $M_{c}<1$

We shall assume that $M$ and v are known functions of $\tau$, which are analytic in the neighbourhood of the point $\psi=1$. In this case, the coefficients, which depend on $\tau$, in expressions (1.1) and (1.2) can be expanded in power series in $\zeta=\tau-1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{2}=1+2 \zeta+\zeta^{2}, \quad 1-M^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_{k} \zeta^{k}, \quad \tau\left(1+M^{2}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{k} \zeta^{k} \\
& u_{0}=1-M_{c}^{2}, \quad u_{k}=-\left.\frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^{k} M^{2}}{d \tau^{k}}\right|_{\tau=1}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots\left(u_{1}<0\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& q_{0}=2-u_{0}, \quad q_{1}=q_{0}-u_{1}, \quad q_{k}=-u_{k-1}-u_{k}, \quad k=2,3, \ldots \\
& v^{-1}=1+\zeta M_{c}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{2}\left(u_{0} M_{c}^{2}+u_{1}\right)+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $M_{c}<1$, we introduce the variables $\sigma$ and $\omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\left(\theta^{2}+\alpha^{2} \zeta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \omega=\operatorname{arctg} \frac{\theta}{\alpha \zeta}, \quad \alpha=u_{0}^{1 / 2}=\left(1-M_{c}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\sigma$ and $\omega$ are the distance to the origin of the coordinate system and the central angle in the plane of
the variables $\alpha \zeta$, and $\theta ; \omega=-\pi$ on $A C$ and $\omega=-\pi / 2$ on $C B$ ). According to (2.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta=\alpha^{-1} \sigma \cos \omega, \quad \theta=\sigma \sin \omega  \tag{2.3}\\
& \sigma_{\theta}=\sin \omega, \quad \sigma_{\tau}=\alpha \cos \omega, \quad \omega_{\theta}=\sigma^{-1} \cos \omega, \quad \omega_{\tau}=-\alpha \sigma^{-1} \sin \omega \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

System (1.1), (1.4) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\psi)=N(\psi), \quad N(\psi)=\left(S P_{\theta}-P S_{\theta}\right)\left(S^{2} \sin \theta\right)^{-1} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $Q(\psi)=L(\psi)-N(\psi)$ and $\psi_{0}$ is a function which satisfies the equation $Q(\psi) \rightarrow 0$ when $(\tau, \theta)$ B $\Sigma,(\tau, \theta) \rightarrow(1,0)$ as well as the conditions $\psi_{0}=0$ on AC and $\psi_{0}=1$ on CB. We shall seek $\psi_{0}$ in the form of an asymptotic expansion in the small parameter $\sigma$, putting

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{0}=\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{2}+\ldots, \quad \Psi_{k}=h_{k}(\sigma) f_{k}(\omega)  \tag{2.6}\\
& h_{k+1}(\sigma) / h_{k}(\sigma) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { при } \quad \sigma \rightarrow 0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

and requiring that the following conditions be satisfied

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{k}=0 \text { при } \omega=-\pi, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \\
& \Psi_{1}=1 \text { при } \omega=-\pi / 2, \quad \Psi_{k}=0 \text { при } \omega=-\pi / 2, k=2,3, \ldots \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose $\psi$ is the solution of boundary-value problem (1.1), (1.3), (1.4). The asymptotic expansion of $\psi$ in the small parameter $\sigma$ is obviously identical to expansion (2.6) as long as the functions are uniquely defined.

It is natural to seek the leading term in expansion (2.6) in the form $\psi_{1}=f_{1}(\omega)$. Using relations (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4), it can be shown that, here,

$$
\begin{align*}
& L\left(\omega_{1}\right)=L_{1}+\Delta L_{1}, \quad P\left(\Psi_{1}\right)=P_{1}+\Delta P_{1}, \quad S\left(\psi_{1}\right)=S_{1}+\Delta S_{1}, \quad R\left(\psi_{1}\right)=R_{1}+\Delta R_{1}  \tag{2.8}\\
& L_{1}=\alpha^{2} \Psi_{1 \theta \theta}+\psi_{1 \tau \tau}=\alpha^{2} \sigma^{-2} f_{1}^{n}, \Delta L_{1}=O\left(\sigma^{-1}\right) \\
& P_{1}=\theta^{2}\left(\psi_{1 \tau}^{2}+\alpha^{2} \psi_{1 \theta}^{2}\right)=\alpha^{2} \sin ^{2} \omega f_{1}^{\prime 2}, \quad \Delta P_{1}=O(\sigma) \\
& S_{1}=2 \psi_{1}+\theta \psi_{1 \theta}=2 f_{1}+\sin \omega \cos \omega f_{1}^{\prime}, \quad \Delta S_{1}=O(\sigma) \\
& P_{1 \theta}=\alpha^{2} \sigma^{-1} \sin 2 \omega\left(\cos \omega f_{1}^{\prime 2}+\sin f_{1}^{\prime} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& S_{1 \theta}=\sigma^{-1}\left[\cos \omega\left(1+2 \cos ^{2} \omega\right) f_{1}^{\prime}+\sin \omega \cos ^{2} \omega f_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& R_{1}=\theta S_{1}^{2} L_{1}-P_{1 \theta} S_{1}+P_{1} S_{1 \theta}=O\left(\sigma^{-1}\right), \quad \Delta P_{1}=O(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Equating $R_{1}$, that is, the leading term in the expansion of $R\left(\psi_{1}\right)$ in powers of $\sigma$, to zero, we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 f_{1}^{2} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}-4 \cos ^{2} \omega f_{1} f_{1}^{\prime 2}+\sin \omega \cos \omega f_{1}^{\prime 3}=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking account of (2.7), we require that the following conditions should be satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(-\pi)=0, \quad f_{1}(-\pi .2)=1 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A numerical-analytic investigation shows that boundary-value problem (2.9), (2.10) has a unique solution: a monotonically increasing function $f_{1}(\omega)$ can be obtained by numerical integration of Eq (2.9), following for the fact that the expansions hold for the ends of the interval $[-\pi,-\pi / 2]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(-\pi+u)=q^{-2}\left(u^{2}-\frac{1}{3} u^{4}+\frac{23}{180} u^{6}-\frac{113}{2520} u^{8}+\ldots\right), \quad q=0,83166 \\
& f_{1}\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}-u\right)=1-q u-\frac{1}{24} q^{3} u^{3}+\left(\frac{1}{12} q^{2}-\frac{1}{24} q^{4}\right) u^{4}+\left(\frac{7}{120} q^{3}-\frac{27}{640} q^{5}\right) u^{5}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the relation $f_{1}(\omega)$ has been previously given in [4] in parametric form, which is inconvenient for practical applications without any indication of the method used to determine it

$$
f_{1}=t^{2} / t_{0}^{2}, \quad \omega=-\pi+\operatorname{arctg}\left[J_{1}(2 t) / J_{0}(2 t)\right], \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]
$$

where $J_{1}, J_{0}$ are Bessel functions and $t_{0}$ is the least root of the equation $J_{0}(2 t)=0, t_{0}=q^{-1}$.
The second term of expansion (2.6) can be found in the form $\psi_{2}=\sigma f_{2}(\omega)$. The differential equation for $f_{2}(\omega)$ is obtained by equating to zero the term of the order of unity in the expansion of the expression $R\left(\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}\right)$ with respect to $\sigma$. However, the function $\psi_{1}=f_{1}(\omega)$, which is the leading term in the expansion of the required function $\psi$ with respect to $\sigma$ is sufficient for practical purposes. Note that $Q(\psi)=O\left(\sigma^{n-1}\right)$ when $R(\psi)=O\left(\sigma^{n}\right)$ and, consequently, $Q\left(\psi_{1}\right)=O\left(\sigma^{-1}\right)$.

## 3. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF $\Psi$ WHEN $M_{c}=1$

When $M_{c}=1$, the coefficient $u_{0}$ in (2.1) vanishes, which leads to a change in the type of singularity at the point $C$. Putting $M_{c}=1$, we introduce the variables $x$ and $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\left[(\mu \theta)^{2}+|\zeta|^{3}\right]^{1 / 2}, \quad \beta=\operatorname{arctg} \frac{\mu \theta}{|\zeta|^{3 / 2}}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the distance from the origin of the coordinate system and the central angle in the lane of the transformed variables of the hodograph $\xi_{1}=|\xi|^{3 / 2}, \theta_{1}=\mu \theta ; \beta=0$ on $A C$ and $\beta=-\pi / 2$ on $C B$ ). By (3.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta=-x^{3 / 3}(\cos \beta)^{2 / 3}, \quad \theta=\mu^{-1} x \sin \beta  \tag{3.2}\\
& x_{\theta}=\mu \sin \beta, \quad x_{\tau}=-\frac{3}{2} x^{1 / 3}(\cos \beta)^{4 / 3} \\
& \beta_{\theta}=\mu x^{-1} \cos \beta, \quad \beta_{\tau}=\frac{3}{2} x^{-2 / 3} \sin \beta(\cos \beta)^{1 / 3}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall seek the function $\psi_{0}$ in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{0}=\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}+\ldots, \quad \psi_{k}=d_{k}(x) g_{k}(\beta) \\
& d_{k+1}(x) / d_{k}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { when } \quad x \rightarrow 0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

while requiring that the following conditions are satisfied

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{k}=0 \text { when } \beta=0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \\
& \psi_{1}=1 \text { when } \beta=-\pi / 2, \quad \psi_{k}=0 \text { when } \beta=-\pi / 2, \quad k=2,3, . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall seek the leading term in expansion (3.3) in the form $\psi_{1}=g_{1}(\beta)$. Using relations (2.1) and (3.2), it can be shown that, in representations (2.8).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=u_{1} \zeta \psi_{1 \theta \theta}+\psi_{1 \pi \tau}=\frac{9}{4} x^{-4 / 3}(\cos \beta)^{2 / 3}\left(-\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tg} \beta g_{1}^{\prime}+g_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad \Delta L_{1}=O\left(x^{-2 / 3}\right) \\
& P_{1}=\theta^{2}\left(\psi_{1 \tau}^{2}+u_{1} \zeta \psi_{1 \theta}^{2}\right)=\frac{9}{4} \mu^{-2} x^{2 / 3} \sin ^{2} \beta(\cos \beta)^{2 / 3} g_{1}^{\prime 2}, \quad \Delta P_{1}=O\left(x^{1 / 3}\right) \\
& S_{1}=2 \psi_{1}+\theta \psi_{1 \theta}=2 g_{1}+\sin \beta \cos \beta g_{1}^{\prime}, \quad \Delta S_{1}=O\left(x^{2 / 3}\right) \\
& P_{1 \theta}=\frac{9}{4} \mu^{-1} x^{-1 / 3} \sin 2 \beta(\cos \beta)^{2 / 3}\left(\cos \beta g_{1}^{\prime 2}+\sin g_{1}^{\prime} g_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& S_{1 \theta}=\mu x^{-1}\left[\cos \beta\left(1+2 \cos ^{2} \beta\right) g_{1}^{\prime}+\sin \beta \cos ^{2} \beta g_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& R_{1}=\theta S_{1}^{2} L_{1}-P_{1 \theta} S_{1}+P_{1} S_{1 \theta}=O\left(x^{-1 / 3}\right), \quad \Delta R_{1}=O\left(x^{1 / 3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating $R_{1}$, the leading term in the expansion of $R\left(\psi_{1}\right)$ in powers of $x$, to zero, we obtain equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 g_{1}^{2} g_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\sin \beta \cos \beta\left(1-\frac{1}{3} \sin ^{2} \beta\right) g_{1}^{3}-\frac{4}{3} \operatorname{tg} \beta g_{1}^{2} g_{1}^{\prime}-\left(4-\frac{8}{3} \sin ^{2} \beta\right) g_{1} g_{1}^{\prime 2}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking account of conditions (3.4), we require that the following conditions be satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(0)=0, \quad g_{1}(-\pi / 2)=1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Investigation shows that boundary-value problem (3.5), (3.6) has a unique solution: a monotonically decreasing function $g_{1}(\beta)$ can be obtained by numerical integration of Eq. (3.5), taking account of the fact that the expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}(\beta)=a\left(\beta^{2}+\frac{1}{135} \beta^{6}+\frac{10}{1701} \beta^{8}-\frac{1}{18225} \beta^{10}+\ldots\right), \quad a=0.31247  \tag{3.7}\\
& g_{1}\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}+t\right)=1-p t^{3 / 3}+\frac{1}{6} p^{2} t^{1 / 3}-\left(\frac{1}{72} p+\frac{1}{648} p^{4}\right) t^{2 / 3}+\left(\frac{41}{1080} p^{2}-\frac{2}{1215} p^{5}\right) t^{1 / 3}+\ldots, \\
& p=1.14967
\end{align*}
$$

hold at the ends of the interval $[-\pi / 2,0]$.
We shall seek the function $\psi_{2}$ in expression (3.3) in the form $\psi_{2}=\chi^{3 / 2} g_{2}(\beta)$. Here, according to relations (2.1) and (3.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(\Psi_{1}+\psi_{2}\right)=L_{2}+\Delta L_{2}, \quad P\left(\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{2}\right)=P_{2}+\Delta P_{2} \\
& S\left(\Psi_{1}+\psi_{2}\right)=S_{2}+\Delta S_{2}, \quad R\left(\Psi_{1}+\psi_{2}\right)=R_{2}+\Delta R_{2} \\
& L_{2}=u_{2} \zeta^{2} \psi_{100}+2 \zeta \psi_{1 \pi}+2 \psi_{1 \tau}+u_{1} \zeta \psi_{2 \theta \theta}+\Psi_{2 \pi \pi}= \\
& =x^{-3 / 3}\left\{( \operatorname { c o s } \beta ) ^ { 1 / 3 } \left[\left(2 \delta \sin \beta \cos ^{2} \beta-\frac{9}{2} \sin \beta+9 \sin ^{3} \beta\right) g_{1}^{\prime}-\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\left(\delta \cos ^{3} \beta+\frac{9}{2} \sin ^{2} \beta \cos \beta\right) g_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]+\frac{9}{4}(\cos \beta)^{2 / 2}\left(\frac{2}{3} g_{2}-\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tg} \beta g_{2}^{\prime}+g_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}, \quad \Delta L_{2}=O(1) \\
& P_{2}=\theta^{2}\left[2 \zeta \psi_{1 \tau}^{2}+u_{2} \zeta^{2} \psi_{1 \theta}^{2}+2\left(\psi_{1 \tau} \psi_{2 \tau}+u_{1} \zeta \psi_{1 \theta} \psi_{2 \theta}\right)\right]= \\
& =\mu^{-2} x_{1}^{4 /}\left[\frac{9}{2}(\cos \beta)^{2 / 3} \sin ^{2} \beta g_{1}^{\prime} g_{2}^{\prime}-(\cos \beta)^{4 / 3}\left(\delta \sin ^{2} \beta \cos ^{2}+\frac{9}{2} \sin ^{4} \beta\right)\right] \\
& \Delta P_{2}=O\left(x^{2}\right) \\
& S_{2}=2 \psi_{2}+\theta \psi_{2 \theta}=x^{3 / 3}\left[\left(2+\frac{2}{3} \sin ^{2} \beta\right) g_{2}+\sin \beta \cos \beta g_{2}^{\prime}\right], \Delta S_{2}=O\left(x^{1 / 3}\right) \\
& P_{2 \theta}=\mu^{-1} x^{1 / 3}\left[-(\cos \beta)^{10 / 3}\left(2 \delta \sin \beta-4 \delta \sin ^{3} \beta+18 \sin ^{3} \beta\right) g_{1}^{\prime 2}-\right. \\
& -(\cos \beta)^{7 / 3}\left(2 \delta \sin ^{2} \beta \cos ^{2} \beta+9 \sin ^{4} \beta\right) g_{1}^{\prime} \delta_{1}^{\prime \prime}+ \\
& \left.+(\cos \beta)^{2 / 3}\left(9 \sin \beta-6 \sin ^{3} \beta\right) g_{1}^{\prime} g_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{9}{2}(\cos \beta)^{7 / 3} \sin ^{2} \beta\left(g_{1}^{\prime \prime} g_{2}^{\prime}+q_{1}^{\prime} q_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] \\
& S_{2 \theta}=\mu x^{-4 / 5}\left[\left(\frac{8}{3} \sin \beta-\frac{8}{9} \sin ^{3} \beta\right) g_{2}+\left(3 \cos \beta-\frac{2}{3} \sin ^{2} \beta \cos \beta\right) g_{2}^{\prime}+\sin \beta \cos ^{2} \beta g_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& R_{2}=\theta\left(2 S_{1} S_{2} L_{1}+S_{1}^{2} L_{2}\right)-P_{1 \theta} S_{2}-P_{2 \theta} S_{1}+S_{1 \theta} P_{2}+S_{2 \theta} P_{1}=O\left(x^{1 / 3}\right), \quad \Delta R_{2}=O(x) \\
& \delta=-\mu^{2} u_{2}=-\frac{9}{4} \frac{u_{2}}{\left|u_{1}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating the leading term in the expansion of $R_{2}$ in powers of $x, R\left(\psi_{1}=\psi_{2}\right)$ to zero, we obtain the equation for $g_{2}(\beta)$ :
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& E g_{2}^{\prime \prime}+F g_{2}^{\prime}+G g_{2}=H_{1}+\delta H_{2} \\
& E=g_{1}^{2} \\
& F=-\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tg} \beta g_{1}^{2}-\left(2-\frac{2}{3} \sin ^{2} \beta\right) g_{1} g_{1}^{\prime}+\sin \beta \cos \beta\left(\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{4} \sin ^{2} \beta\right) g_{1}^{\prime 2} \\
& G=\frac{2}{3} g_{1}^{2}-\frac{8}{9} \frac{\sin ^{3} \beta}{\cos \beta} g_{1} g_{1}^{\prime}-\cos ^{2} \beta\left(1-\frac{1}{6} \sin ^{2} \beta\right) g_{1}^{\prime 2}+\left(2+\frac{2}{3} \sin ^{2} \beta\right) g_{1} g_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
& H_{1}=-(\cos \beta)^{-1 / 3}\left[\sin \beta\left(2-4 \cos ^{2} \beta\right) g_{1}^{2} g_{1}^{\prime}+2 \sin ^{2} \beta \cos \beta\left(g_{1} g_{1}^{\prime 2}-g_{1}^{2} g_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] \\
& H_{2}=-(\cos \beta)^{-1 / 3}\left[\frac{8}{9} \sin \beta \cos ^{2} \beta g_{1}^{2} g_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{4}{9} \cos ^{3} \beta\left(g_{1} g_{1}^{\prime 2}-g_{1}^{2} g_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\frac{1}{9} \sin \beta \cos ^{4} \beta g_{1}^{\prime 3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall represent $g_{2}(\beta)$ in the form $g_{2}(\beta)=\varphi_{1}(\beta)+\delta \varphi_{2}(\beta)$ by submitting the functions $\varphi_{k}$ to the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \varphi_{k}^{\prime \prime}+F \varphi_{k}^{\prime}+G \varphi_{k}=H_{k}, \quad \varphi_{k}(0)=\varphi_{k}(-\pi / 2)=0, \quad k=1,2 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analysis shows that boundary-value problems (3.8) are uniquely solvable and that the functions $\varphi_{1}(\beta)$, $\varphi_{2}(\beta)$ are non-negative and can be found by numerical integration of Eq. (3.8) when account is taken of the fact that the expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}(\beta)=a\left(3 \beta^{3}-\beta^{4}+\frac{1}{45} \beta^{6}+\ldots\right) \\
& \varphi_{1}\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}+t\right)=3 t^{2 / 3}-3 p t^{4 / 3}+\frac{1}{2} p^{2} t^{2}+\ldots  \tag{3.9}\\
& \varphi_{2}(\beta)=a\left(\frac{8}{9} \beta^{2}-\frac{16}{27} \beta^{4}+\frac{208}{1215} \beta^{6}+\ldots\right) \\
& \varphi_{2}\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}+t\right)=\frac{4}{9} t^{2 / 3}-\frac{8}{27} p t^{4 / 3}+\frac{2}{81} p^{2} t^{2}+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

hold at the ends of the interval $[-\pi / 2,0]$.
The relations $g_{1}(\beta), \varphi_{1}(\beta), \varphi_{2}(\beta)$ are shown by curves $1-3$ respectively in Fig. 2.
It can be shown that, for $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$, found when $M_{c}=1, Q\left(\psi_{1}\right)=O\left(x^{-2 / 3}\right) . Q\left(\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{2}\right)=Q(1)$. It is obvious that the functions $\psi_{1}=g_{1}(\beta)$ and $\psi_{2}=x^{2 / 3}\left(\varphi_{1}(\beta)+\delta \varphi_{2}(\beta)\right)$ serve as the initial terms of the expansion of the required function $\psi$ with respect to the small parameter $\chi$.

## 4. ANALYSIS OF THE FUNCTION $\Psi$ WHEN $M_{c}=1, \beta \rightarrow 0,-\pi / 2$

By relations (3.7) and (3.9), we have

$$
g_{1}(\beta)+x^{3 / 3}\left(\varphi_{1}(\beta)+\delta \varphi_{2}(\beta)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
O\left(\beta^{2}\right) \text { when } \beta \rightarrow 0  \tag{4.1}\\
1+O\left(t^{2 / 3}\right) \text { when } \beta=-\pi / 2+t, t \rightarrow 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We shall show that, when $M_{c}=1$, similar relations also hold for the required stream function $\psi=\psi(\chi, \beta)$.

According to the first conditions (1.3), $\psi(x, 0)=0$. When $\beta \rightarrow 0$, we can represent $\psi(x, \beta)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x, \beta)=N(x) b_{1}(\beta)+O\left(b_{2}(\beta)\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that $b_{1}(\beta)$ is of the order of magnitude of $\beta^{\varepsilon}$ or $\beta^{n}$ or and that $\beta^{n \pm \varepsilon}$ is of the order of magnitude of $\beta^{m}$ or $\beta^{m \pm \varepsilon}$, when $m$ and $n$ are positive constants, $m>n$ and $\varepsilon$ is a positive quantity which may be as small as desired (according to (4.1), $n \leqslant 2$ ). It can be shown that $\beta b_{1}^{\prime} / b_{1}=O\left(\beta^{\varepsilon}\right)$ when $b_{1}=O\left(\beta^{\varepsilon}\right)$ and, in the remaining cases, $\beta b_{1}^{\prime} / b_{1}=O(1)$ and that $\beta b_{1}^{\prime \prime} / b_{1}^{\prime \prime}=O(1)$ always.

We shall use the notation $O\left(\delta_{1}(\beta), \delta_{2}(\beta)\right)$ bearing $O\left(\delta_{0}(\beta)\right)$ in mind here, where $\delta_{0}(\beta)$ is that one of the functions $\delta_{1}(\beta), \delta_{2}(\beta)$ which tends more slowly to zero when $\beta \rightarrow 0$. Using relations (3.2), we obtain from (4.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\tau}=O\left(b_{1}\right), \quad \psi_{\pi \tau}=O\left(b_{1}\right) \\
& \Psi_{\theta}=\mu N x^{-1} b_{1}^{\prime}+O\left(\beta b_{1}, b_{2}^{\prime}\right), \quad \psi_{\theta \theta}=\mu^{2} N x^{-2} b_{1}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(b_{1}, b_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& L=\mu^{2}\left(1-M^{2}\right) N x^{-2} b_{1}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(b_{1}, b_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& P=\left(1-M^{2}\right) N^{2} \beta^{2} b_{1}^{\prime 2}+O\left(\beta^{2} b_{1}^{2}, \beta^{2} b_{1}^{\prime} b_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& S=N\left(2 b_{1}+\beta b_{1}^{\prime}\right)+O\left(\beta^{2} b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \\
& P_{\theta}=2 \mu\left(1-M^{2}\right) N^{2} x^{-1}\left(\beta b_{1}^{\prime 2}+\beta^{2} b_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)+O\left(\beta b_{1}^{2}, \beta b_{1}^{\prime} b_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& S_{\theta}=\mu N x^{-1}\left(3 b_{1}^{\prime}+\beta b_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)+O\left(\beta b_{1}, b_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& R=R_{1}+\Delta R_{1} \\
& R_{1}=\mu\left(1-M^{2}\right) N^{3} x^{-1}\left(4 \beta b_{1}^{2} b_{1}^{\prime \prime}-4 \beta b_{1} b_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2} b_{1}^{3}\right), \quad \Delta R_{1}=O\left(\beta b_{1}^{3}, b_{1}^{2} b_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantity $\Delta R_{1}$, when $\beta \rightarrow 0$, is of a higher order of smallness than each of the terms appearing in $R_{1}$. It therefore follows from the equality $R(\psi(\chi, \beta))=0$ that $R_{1}=0$. The general solution of the differential equation for $b_{1}$, which is obtained by equating $R_{1}$ to zero, has the form

$$
b_{1}=c_{1}\left(\beta+\sqrt{\beta^{2}+c_{2}^{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are arbitrary constants. When account is taken of the condition $b_{1}(0)=0$, it follows from this that $b_{1}=O\left(\beta^{2}\right)$.
When $\beta=-\pi / 2+t, t \rightarrow 0$, we can represent $\psi(x, \beta)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x, \beta)=1+K(x) \delta_{1}(t)+O\left(\delta_{2}(t)\right) \quad\left(\delta_{2}(t) / \delta_{1}(t) \rightarrow 0\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to relations (4.3) and (3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\tau}=-\frac{3}{2} K(x) x^{-2 / 3} t^{1 / 3} \delta_{1}^{\prime}+O\left(t^{1 / 3} \delta_{1}, t^{t / 8} \delta_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By expressions (1.4) and (4.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{1}{2} r^{2}\right|_{B C}=1+\left.\int_{0}^{\theta} \Psi_{\tau}\right|_{B C} \sin \theta d \theta \\
& \left.\Psi_{\tau}\right|_{B C}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left\{-\frac{3}{2} K(|\mu \theta|)|\mu \theta|^{-2 / 3} t^{1 / 3} \delta_{1}^{\prime}+O\left(t^{4 / 3} \delta_{1}, t^{1 / 3} \delta_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In a solution of the problem exists, then $\left.r\right|_{B C}$ is a finite function of $\theta$, which is not identically equal to unity when $\theta_{0} \neq 0$. This is only possible when $\delta_{1}=O\left(t^{2 / 3}\right)$.

## 5. ANALYSIS OF THE FORM OF THE JET WHEN $M_{c}=1$

Using relations (1.2) and the properties of the solution of the problem which have been established, we shall now show that, when $M_{c}=1$, the velocity in the jet is evened out at a finite distance from the edge of the nozzle and that the surface, in which this evening-out occurs, is a surface perpendicular to the $x$ axis. In estimating any function $\Omega(x, \beta)$, we shall use the notation $\Omega=O\left(x^{k}, \beta^{m}, t^{n}\right)$ which means that

$$
\Omega=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
O\left(x^{k}\right) \text { when } x \rightarrow 0, \beta \in[-\pi / 2,0] \\
O\left(\beta^{m}\right) \text { when } \beta \rightarrow 0, x \leqslant x_{m}=\left(1+\mu^{2} \theta_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
O\left(t^{n}\right) \text { when } \beta=-\pi / 2+t, t \rightarrow 0, x \leqslant x_{m}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It was established above that, when $M_{c}=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\psi(x, \beta)=\psi_{1}+A, \quad \psi_{1}=g_{1}(\beta), \quad A=O\left(x^{2 / 3}, \beta^{2}, t^{2 / 3}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from this that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{\tau}=\Psi_{1 \tau}+B, \quad \Psi_{1 \tau}=3 / 2 x^{-2 / 3} \sin \beta(\cos \beta)^{1 / 3} g_{1}^{\prime}, \quad B=O\left(x^{0}, \beta^{2}, t^{0}\right) \\
& \Psi_{\theta}=\Psi_{1 \theta}+O\left(x^{-1 / 3}, \beta, t^{2 / 3}\right), \quad \Psi_{1 \theta}=\mu x^{-1} \cos \beta g_{1}^{\prime} \\
& \left(I-M^{2}\right) \psi_{\theta}^{2}=\frac{9}{4} x^{-4 / 3}(\cos \beta)^{2 / 3} g_{1}^{\prime 2}+O\left(x^{-3 / 3}, \beta^{2}, t^{2}\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
& \tau^{2} \Psi_{\tau}^{2}=\frac{9}{4} x^{-4 / 3} \sin ^{2} \beta(\cos \beta)^{2 / 3} g_{1}^{\prime 2}+O\left(x^{-2 / 3}, \beta^{4}, t^{0}\right) \\
& P \operatorname{ctg} \theta=\frac{9}{4} \mu^{-1} x^{-1 / 3} \sin \beta(\cos \beta)^{2 / 3} g_{1}^{\prime 2}+O\left(x^{1 / 3}, \beta^{3}, t^{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In integrals of the type (1.4)

$$
\zeta=\text { const, } \quad d \theta=\mu^{-1} \mid \zeta 1^{1 / 2} d \beta / \cos ^{2} \beta
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\theta} \psi_{1 \tau} \theta d \theta=\frac{3}{2} \mu^{-2} x^{4 / 3}(\cos \beta)^{4 / 3} \int_{0}^{\beta} g_{1}^{\prime} \frac{\sin ^{2} \beta}{\cos ^{2} \beta} d \beta=O\left(x^{4 / 3}, \beta^{4}, t^{0}\right) \\
& \int_{0}^{\theta} \psi_{1} \theta d \theta=\mu^{-2} x^{2} \cos ^{2} \beta \int_{0}^{\beta} g_{1} \frac{\sin \beta}{\cos ^{3} \beta} d \beta=O\left(x^{2}, \beta^{4}, t^{0}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose $|A|,|B| \leqslant B_{0}, x \leqslant x_{m}$ when $-\pi / 2 \leqslant \beta \leqslant 0$. Then

$$
\left.\int_{0}^{\theta}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A  \tag{5.4}\\
B
\end{array}\right\} \sin \theta d \theta \right\rvert\, \leqslant \frac{1}{2} B_{0} \mu^{-2} x^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta
$$

Taking account of relations (5.1)-(5.4), we obtain from (1.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y=g_{1}+O\left(x^{2 / 3}, \beta^{2}, t^{0}\right) \\
& S=C_{0}+C_{1}, \quad C_{0}=2 g_{1}+\sin \beta \cos \beta g_{1}^{\prime}, \quad C_{1}=O\left(x^{2 / 3}, \beta^{2}, t^{0}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

In the interval $[-\pi / 2,0] C_{0}>0$, we have that $\beta \rightarrow 0$ as $C_{0}=O\left(\beta^{2}\right)$. Hence, $\left|C_{1} / C_{0}\right|<\infty$ and we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=C_{0}\left(1+O\left(x^{2 / 3}, \beta^{0}, t^{0}\right)\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from relations (5.2) and (5.6) that

$$
\frac{P}{S} \operatorname{ctg} \theta=\frac{9}{4} \mu^{-1} x^{-1 / 3} G_{12} g_{1}^{\prime 2}+O\left(x^{1 / 3}, \beta, t^{0}\right), \quad G_{m n}=\frac{\sin ^{m} \beta(\cos \beta)^{n / 2}}{2 g_{1}+\sin \beta \cos \beta g_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

Estimating the other terms on the right-hand sides of expressions (1.2) in a similar manner, we shall have

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\tau}=\frac{1}{r}\left\{\frac{9}{4} \mu^{-1} x^{-1 / 3}\left[G_{12} g_{1}^{\prime 2}-(\cos \beta)^{5 / 3} g_{1}^{\prime}\right]+O\left(x^{1 / 3}, \beta, t^{0}\right)\right\}  \tag{5.7}\\
& x_{\theta}=\frac{1}{r}\left\{\frac{3}{2} x^{-2 / 3} \sin \beta(\cos \beta)^{1 / 3} g_{1}^{\prime}+O\left(x^{0}, \beta^{2}, t^{0}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking account of relations (3.2) and the equalities

$$
x_{\beta}=x_{\tau} \zeta_{\beta}+x_{\theta} \theta_{\beta}, \quad x_{x}=x_{\tau} \zeta_{x}+x_{\theta} \theta_{x}
$$

we find from (5.7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\beta}=\frac{1}{r}\left\{\frac{3}{2} \mu^{-1} x^{1 / 3} G_{21} 8_{1}^{\prime 2}+O\left(x, \beta^{2}, t^{-1 / 3}\right)\right\}  \tag{5.8}\\
& x_{x}=\frac{1}{r}\left\{3 \mu^{-1} x^{-2 / 3} G_{01} 8_{1} g_{1}^{\prime}+O\left(x^{0}, \beta, t^{0}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

In accordance with (5.5), $r^{2}=2 g_{1}+O\left(\varkappa^{2} / 3, \beta^{2}, t^{0}\right)$. Since $g_{1}>0$ when $\beta \in[-\pi / 2,0]$ and $g_{1}=O\left(\beta^{2}\right)$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{-1}=\left(2 g_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(1+O\left(x^{3 / 3}, \beta^{0}, t^{0}\right)\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to relations (5.7) - (5.9)

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\theta}=\frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{4} x^{-3 / 3} \sin \beta(\cos \beta)^{1 / 2} g_{1}^{\prime} g_{1}^{-1 / 2}+O\left(x^{0}, \beta, t^{0}\right) \\
& x_{x}=\frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{2} \mu^{-1} x^{-2 / 3} G_{01} g_{1}^{\prime} g_{1}^{1 / 2}+O\left(x^{0}, \beta^{0}, t^{0}\right)  \tag{5.10}\\
& x_{\beta}=\frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{4} \mu^{-1} x^{1 / 3} G_{21} g_{1}^{\prime 2} g_{1}^{-1 / 2}+O\left(x, \beta, t^{-1 / 3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x=-\mu \theta$ when $\beta=-\pi / 2$, then, when account is taken of (3.7), from the first two equalities of (5.10) we simultaneously obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.x_{\theta}\right|_{B C}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \mu^{-2 / 3} p|\theta|^{-2 / 3}+D, \quad|D|<\infty \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5.11) that, when $M_{c}=1$, the projection of an arc of the free surface $b c$ on the $x$ axis
is a finite quantity ( $c$ is the point at which the curvilinear segment of the arc of the free surface joins the linear segment).

We now consider the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J=J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3} ; \quad J_{1}=\int_{-\theta_{0}}^{-\theta_{1}} x_{\theta} d \theta, \quad J_{2}=\int_{-\pi / 2}^{\beta_{1}} x_{\beta} d \beta, \quad J_{3}=\int_{x_{1}}^{0} x_{x} d x \\
& \theta_{1} \in\left(0, \theta_{0}\right), \quad x_{1}=\mu\left|\theta_{1}\right|, \quad \beta_{1} \in[-\pi / 2,0]
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral $J_{1}$ is calculated when $\beta=-\pi / 2$ (along $B C$ ), $J_{2}$ is calculated when $x=\chi_{1}$ and $J_{3}$ is calculated when $\beta=\beta_{1}$. It is obvious that $J$ is the projection on the $x$ axis of an arc which joins the edge of the nozzle $b$ to the point on the streamline $\psi=g_{1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ at which evening-out of the velocity occurs (at which
$M$ becomes unity and $\theta$ vanishes). It follows from relations (5.10) that $J_{2}, J_{3}=O\left(\chi_{1}^{1 / 3}\right)$ when $x_{1} \rightarrow 0$. Letting $x_{1}$ tend to zero, we can show that $J$ is independent of $\beta_{1}$ and, consequently, the values $M=1$, and $\theta=0$ are attained at one and the same value of $x$ for all the streamlines in the jet. Beyond this equalization plane, the gas velocity is equal to the velocity of sound and the jet has the shape of a cylinder.

Hence, the assertion formulated at the beginning of this section is proved. Note that, in constructing the functions $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$, we have only used conditions in the free surface ( $\beta=-\pi / 2$ ) and on the $x$ axis ( $\beta=0$ ). Hence, assuming that a solution of the problem exists for an axially symmetric nozzle of arbitrary shape, the result also holds for an arbitrary analytic dependence of the Mach number on the reduced velocity.

A similar result for a plane symmetric jet of a perfect gas, flowing from a vessel with straight walls, was obtained for the first time in [5]. Extension to the case of a plane jet of gas flowing from a vessel of arbitrary shape in the case of an arbitrary relation between the density and pressure can be found in [6].

Using the expression $\psi_{1}=f_{1}(\omega)$ which has been found above, it can be shown that, when $M_{c}<1$, evening-out of the velocity in the jet occurs at a finite distance from the nozzle edge.

The problem of the axial by symmetric emission of a gas jet from a nozzle with a curvilinear wall has been investigated using the methods of functional analysis in [7-10]. The nozzle shape was specified by the equation $r$ $=f(x)(-\infty<x \leqslant 0)$. Subject to certain constraints on the gas dynamic functions and the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x) \in C^{4}, f^{\prime \prime}(x) \leqslant 0, \quad\left|\operatorname{arctg} f^{\prime}(x)\right|<\pi / 2 \\
& f(x) \equiv \text { const when }|x|>X \quad(X=\text { const }>0)
\end{aligned}
$$

the solvability of the problem was proved and it was established that equalization of the velocity in the jet with a critical pressure on the free boundary occurs at a finite distance from the nozzle edge (the shape of the surface on which equalization occurs was not investigated).

## 6. THE CALCULATION SCHEME

We put

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi^{0}=\left[(\varphi-1) \cos \frac{\pi \theta}{2 \theta_{0}}+1\right] \sin ^{2} \frac{\pi \tau}{2}+\frac{1-\cos \theta}{1-\cos \theta_{0}} \cos ^{2} \frac{\pi \tau}{2} \\
& \varphi=f_{1}(\omega) \text { when } M_{c}<1  \tag{6.1}\\
& \varphi=g_{1}(\beta)+x^{3 / 3}\left(\varphi_{1}(\beta)+\delta \varphi_{2}(\beta)\right) \exp \left(-\alpha_{1} \theta^{2}\right), \quad \alpha_{1} \approx 10 \text { when } M_{c}=1
\end{align*}
$$

The function $\psi^{0}$, constructed in this manner, satisfies boundary conditions (1.3) and retains the same leading parts of the asymptotic expansions of the function $\psi$ which have been found when $M_{c}<1$ and $M_{c}=1$.

The function $\chi=\psi-\psi^{0}$ must serve as a solution of the boundary-value problem

$$
L(\chi)=N\left(\psi^{0}+\chi\right)-L\left(\psi^{0}\right), \quad \chi=0 \text { on } A A_{1} B C
$$

(see (2.5)). The determination of the function $\chi$ reduces to solving an iterative sequence of linear difference boundary-value problems, and the $(n+1)$-th approximation of the required function $\chi^{(n+1)}$
is found using the scheme

$$
x^{(n+1)}=(1-w) x^{(n)}+w x^{(n+1 / 2)}, \quad 0<w \leqslant 1, \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$

where the difference solution of the problem for the equation

$$
L(\chi)=N\left(\psi^{0}+\chi^{(n)}\right)-L\left(\psi^{0}\right) .
$$

is taken for $\chi^{(n+1 / 2)}$
A finite difference scheme with a five-point approximation on a uniform rectangular mesh is used in the domain $\Sigma$. The method of successive upper relaxation is used for its implementation. The transition into the physical plane is made using formulae (1.2) with a spline approximation of the mesh values of $\psi$.

During the course of the iterative process, a domain usually arises in the neighbourhood of the segment $A A_{1}$ where the values of the quantities $\psi^{(n)}=\psi^{0}+\chi^{(n)}, Y^{(n)}=Y\left(\psi^{(n)}\right), \mathrm{S}^{(n)}=2 Y^{(n)}+\psi_{\theta}^{(n)} \sin \theta$ are negative, but these values subsequently become smaller in magnitude and vanish. The following technique is used in order that the expression $N\left(\psi^{(n)}\right)$ should not become infinite and that the iterative process should not diverge. When $S^{(n)}=-m^{(n)}<0 S^{(n)}, S^{(n)}+4 m^{(n)} f(\tau, \theta)$ is replaced by $f(\tau, \theta)$, where $(\tau, \theta) \rightarrow$ $(1,0)$ is a smooth positive function which vanishes when $\exp \left(-\alpha_{1} \theta^{2}\right)$ and rapidly tends to 1 on moving away from point $C$. The introduction of the factor in expression (6.1) serves similar surfaces.

## 7. RESULTS OF THE CALCULATIONS

Calculations were carried out on the emission of a jet of perfect gas with an adiabatic exponent $\gamma=$ 1.4 for the series of values $\theta_{0} \in\left[7,5^{\circ} ; 180^{\circ}\right]$ and $M_{c} \in[0 ; 1]$. A $M_{c}<1$ mesh was used when $I \times J=$ $200 \times 100$ and a $M_{c}=1$ mesh when $I \times J=100 \times 200(I$ and $J$ are the number of steps along the $\tau$ and $\theta$ axes). Note that $u_{1}=-(1+\gamma), \delta=-u_{2} \mu^{2}=9(2 \gamma-1) / 8$ in the case of a perfect gas.

Suppose $r_{b}, r_{c}$ are the values of $r$ at point $b$ and $c$ and that $k_{a}=r_{c}^{2} / r_{b}^{2}$ is the jet contraction factor. The values of $k_{c}$ found are shown in Table 1. The last row in this table contains the exact values of $k_{a}$, determined for $\theta_{0}=180^{\circ}$ using a momentum theorem [3].

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{a}=\left(\gamma M_{c}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left\{\left[1+(\gamma-1) M_{c}^{2} / 2\right]^{\gamma /(\gamma-1)}-1\right\} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition $a_{1} b$ is satisfied with a sufficiently high accuracy for all versus of the calculation on $\left(r-r_{b}\right) \cos \theta_{0}=-x \cdot \sin \theta_{0}$. It would be expected that the error in determining $\theta_{0}$ would become smaller as $\theta_{0}$ decreases.

Suppose $k_{p}$ is the jet contraction factor in plane flow, similar to that considered above. A table of values of $k_{p}$, calculated with a high accuracy, has been presented in [11]. Comparison shows that $k_{p} / k_{a}>1$ for all $\theta_{0} \neq 0,180^{\circ}$ (when $\theta_{0}+180^{\circ}, k_{p}$, like $k_{a}$, is determined using formula (7.1)). The ratio $k_{p} / k_{a}$ reaches maximum values in the neighbourhood of $\theta_{0}=60^{\circ}$ and, when $\theta_{0}=60^{\circ} k_{p} / k_{a}=1.0351$ for $M_{c}=0$ and $k_{p} / k_{a}=1.0257$ for $M_{c}=1$.

The values of $x_{c} / r_{b}$ when $M_{c}=1$ are presented below for a number of values of $\theta_{0}\left(x_{c}\right.$ is the abscissa

Table 1

| $\theta_{0}^{\circ}$ | $M_{c}^{2}=0$ | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.5 | 0.93804 | 0.94379 | 0.95063 | 0.95857 | 0.96761 | 0.97753 |
| 15 | 0.88305 | 0.89375 | 0.90541 | 0.91823 | 0.93250 | 0.94862 |
| 30 | 0.79323 | 0.81007 | 0.82809 | 0.84741 | 0.86819 | 0.89067 |
| 45 | 0.72339 | 0.74385 | 0.76551 | 0.78846 | 0.81276 | 0.83863 |
| 60 | 0.66864 | 0.69124 | 0.71503 | 0.74004 | 0.76632 | 0.79409 |
| 90 | 0.59146 | 0.61608 | 0.64181 | 0.66868 | 0.69667 | 0.72608 |
| 120 | 0.54375 | 0.56903 | 0.59537 | 0.62278 | 0.65123 | 0.68104 |
| 150 | 0.51539 | 0.54084 | 0.56732 | 0.59482 | 0.62333 | 0.65315 |
| 180 | 0.50015 | 0.52563 | 0.55211 | 0.57959 | 0.60806 | 0.63780 |
| 180 | 0.5 | 0.52550 | 0.55202 | 0.57957 | 0.60816 | 0.63781 |
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of the point $c$ ).

| $\theta_{0}^{\circ}$ | 7.5 | 15 | 22.5 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 75 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $x_{c} / r_{b}$ | 0.6235 | 0.7447 | 0.8124 | 0.8547 | 0.9004 | 0.9188 | 0.9233 |
| $\theta_{0}^{\circ}$ | 90 | 105 | 120 | 135 | 150 | 165 | 180 |
| $x_{c} / r_{b}$ | 0.9204 | 0.9136 | 0.9050 | 0.8959 | 0.8870 | 0.8790 | 0.8720 |

The maximum of $x_{c} / r_{b}$ is in the neighbourhood of $\theta_{0}=75^{\circ}$. When $M_{c}=1$, the shape of the arc $b c$ is shown for $\theta_{0}=15^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}$ in Fig. 3 ( $r_{c}$ decreases as $\theta_{0}$ increases).

Suppose $s$ is the arc abscissa of the curve $b c$. It follows from (1.2) and (1.4) that on $b c$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{r}{r_{c}}=\left(1+\left.\int_{0}^{\theta} \psi_{\tau}\right|_{B C} \sin \theta d \theta\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad r_{c}^{2}=2 \\
& \frac{1}{r_{b}} \frac{d s}{d \theta}=\sqrt{k_{a}} U, \quad U=\left.\frac{1}{2} \psi_{\tau}\right|_{B C}\left(1+\left.\int_{0}^{\theta} \psi_{\tau}\right|_{B C} \sin \theta d \theta\right)^{-1 / 2}  \tag{7.2}\\
& \frac{x}{r_{b}}=\sqrt{k_{a}} \int_{-\theta_{0}}^{\theta} U \cos \theta d \theta, \quad \frac{r}{r_{b}}=1+\sqrt{k_{a}} \int_{-\theta_{0}}^{\theta} U \sin \theta d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

The values of $U$ obtained by solving the problem at mesh points in the interval $B C$ when $M_{c}=1$ can be approximated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=U_{0}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{6} a_{k} \sin k \pi t+1+\theta / \theta_{0}\right), \quad \theta \in\left[-\theta_{0}, 0\right]  \tag{7.3}\\
& U_{0}=\left.\frac{1}{2} \psi_{1 \tau}\right|_{B C}=\frac{1}{2} p \mu^{-2 / 3}|\theta|^{-2 / 3}, \quad t=\left|\theta / \theta_{0}\right|^{0.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 2

| $\theta_{0}^{0}$ | $a_{1} \times 10^{5}$ | $a_{2} \times 10^{5}$ | $a_{3} \times 10^{5}$ | $a_{4} \times 10^{5}$ | $a_{5} \times 10^{5}$ | $a_{6} \times 10^{5}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.5 | -7862 | -1877 | 309 | -307 | 121 | -116 |
| 15 | -12429 | -2049 | 158 | -304 | 102 | -98 |
| 30 | -19049 | -2404 | -88 | -311 | 72 | -77 |
| 45 | -24039 | -2767 | -303 | -332 | 42 | -68 |
| 60 | -28099 | -3133 | -502 | -358 | 14 | -61 |
| 90 | -34509 | -3861 | -876 | -422 | -41 | -56 |
| 120 | -39475 | -4568 | -1234 | -429 | -99 | -99 |
| 150 | -43513 | -5239 | -183 | -577 | -160 | -67 |
| 180 | -46919 | -5861 | -1927 | -662 | -225 | -77 |

Using formulae (7.2) and (7.3) and Table 2 , which contains the coefficients $a_{k}$ for a number of values of $\theta_{0}$, it is possible to establish the shape of the arc $b c$ when $M_{c}=1$. Here, the maximum error in determining $x_{c} / r_{b}$ and $r_{c} / r_{b}$ for the tabulated values of $\theta_{0}$ does not exceed $0.04 \%$ and $0.003 \%$ respectively. The use of the coefficients $a_{k}$ given in Table 2, obtained for intermediate values of $\theta_{0}$ using the spline approximation, barely increases this error. The arc $a_{1} b c$ found by the method described can serve as the generatrix of the subsonic part of an axially symmetric Laval nozzle with a plane transition surface.

I wish to thank G. Yu Stepanov for his interest.
This research was supported financially by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (91-01-00169).

## REFERENCES

1. GILBARG, D. Jets and Cavities. Handbuch der Physik, Vol. 9. Springer, Berlin, 1960, 311-445.
2. BIRKHOFF, G. and ZARANTONELLO E. H. Jets, Wakes and Cavities. Academic Press, New York, 1957.
3. GUREVICH, M. I., The Theory of Jets of Ideal Fluid. Nauka, Moscow, 1979.
4. FENAIN, M., DUTOUQUET, L. and SOLIGNAC, J.-L. Calcul des performance d'une tuyere propulsive convergente. Comparaison avec l'experience. Rech. Aerospat. 1974, 5, 261-276.
5. OVSYANNIKOV, IL. V., Gas flow with a straight transition line. Prikl. Mat. Mekh., 1949, 13, 5, 537-542.
6. SEDOV, L. I., Two-dimensional Problems in Hydro- and Aerodynamics. Wiley, New York, 1965.
7. ANTONTSEV, S. N., Axially symmetric problems of gas dynamics with free boundaries. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1974, 216, 3, 473-476.
8. ANTONTSEV, S. N., Boundary-value Problems for Certain Degenerate Equations of Continuous Mechanics, Part 1. Novosibirsk University, Novosibirsk, 1976.
9. ANTONTSEV, S. IN., The asymptotic form of axially symmetric gas jets. In Dynamics of a Fluid with Free Boundaries, Inst. Gidrodinamiki Sib. Otd. Akad, Nauk SSSR, 1979, 40, 3-13.
10. ANTONTSEV, S. N., Localization of the Solutions of Degenerate Equations of Continuous Mechanics. Inst. Gidrodinamiki Sib. Otd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Novosibirsk, 1986.
11. SUNGURTSEV, Yu. V., Plane Jet Flows of a Gas, Izd. Mosk. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1989.
